Case 10-20525 Doc 224 Page 1 of 3

FILED
August 26, 2011
CLERK, U.S. BANKRUPTCY COURT
EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
0003729511

3

1	PROBER & RAPHAEL, A LAW CORPORATION	
2	LEE S. RAPHAEL, ESQUIRE, #180030	
2	CASSANDRA J. RICHEY, ESQUIRE #155721	
3	MELISSA VERMILLION, ESQUIRE #241354 JOSEPH GARIBYAN, ESQUIRE #271833	
4	BONNIE S. MANTOVANI, ESQUIRE #106353	
5	LALEH ENSAFI, ESQUIRE #268917 20750 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 100	
6	Woodland Hills, California 91364 P.O. Box 4365	
7	Woodland Hills, CA 91365-4365 (818) 227-0100	
8	(818) 227-0101 facsimile	
9	B.505-091	
	Attorneys for Secured Creditor	
10	UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT	
11	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
12	DI IOTBIT (DID TITLE	
13	In re) Bk. No. 10-20525-B
14	CARL MARTIN JOHNSON and SAUNDRA) Chapter 11
15	ELAINE JOHNSON aka SAUNDRA RUDOLPH-SMITH aka SAUNDRA SMITH,) Docket Control No.: CAH-004
16)
17	Debtors.) Hearing –
18) Date: August 30, 2011) Time: 9:32 a.m.
19		Place: U.S. Bankruptcy Court
20) 501 I Street, 6 th Floor) Sacramento, CA
) Courtroom 32 Dept. B
21) Judge: Thomas Holman)
22		
23	OPPOSITION TO DEBTORS' MOTION TO VALUE COLLATERAL OF TO BAC HOME LOANS SERVCIING, LP, FIRST POSITION	
24	Bank of America, N.A., successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, its	
25	assignees and/or successors in interest, secured creditor in the above-entitled Bankruptcy	
26	proceeding (hereinafter "Secured Creditor"), holds a lien on the subject property generally	
27	described as 1833 William Bird Avenue, Sacram	ento, California, and hereby submits the
28		

1 2

_ .

following Opposition to Debtors' Motion to Value Collateral of BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, First Position (hereinafter "Motion to Value"):

I. STATEMENT OF FACTS

Bank of America, N.A., successor by merger to BAC Home Loans Servicing, LP, is the current payee of a Promissory Note dated October 7, 2004 in the original principal amount of \$373,600.00 ("Note" herein) secured by a First Deed of Trust ("Deed of Trust" herein) upon real property generally described as 1833 William Bird Avenue, Sacramento, California and legally described as set forth in the Deed of Trust ("Subject Property" herein). Secured Creditor filed a secured proof of claim in the sum of \$457,588.63. Secured Creditor respectfully requests for this Court to take Judicial Notice of Proof of Claim 24 filed on August 12, 2011, pursuant to F.R.E. 201, and attached hereto as **Exhibit "1"**.

Debtors, Carl Martin Johnson and Saundra Elaine Johnson (hereinafter "Debtors") filed their Voluntary Chapter 13 Petition in Bankruptcy with this Court on or about January 10, 2010.

Debtors filed the instant Motion to Value on August 1, 2011.

Secured Creditor's Objections to the Motion to Value are as follows.

II. <u>DEBTORS' VALUATION IS UNRELIABLE AND SECURED CREDITOR</u> RESPECTFULLY REQUESTS ADDITIONAL TIME TO CONDUCT ITS OWN APPRAISAL

Debtors' Motion to Value alleges that Subject Property has a fair market value of \$310,000.00 based on Debtors' lay opinion. The probative value of Debtors' opinion as to the value of the Subject Property is low and is unreliable, since the Debtors have a financial interest to claim the lowest amount possible. Secured Creditor respectfully requests this honorable Court to be allowed to supplement this opposition with its own Appraisal, which it is currently in the

process of obtaining. 1 IV. **CONCLUSION** 2 3 Based on the foregoing facts and applicable law, Secured Creditor respectfully requests: 4 1. That Debtors' valuation of subject property be struck as improper; 5 2. That Debtors' Motion to Value be denied; 6 3. Or in the alternative, for Secured Creditor to have more time to file a proper appraisal; 8 4. That an evidentiary hearing be set regarding debtor's use of Subject Property; 9 5. For such other relief as this Court deems appropriate. 10 Dated: August 26, 2011 Prober & Raphael, A Law Corporation 11 By /s/ Joseph Garibyan, Esq. 12 Attorneys for Secured Creditor 13 20750 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 100 Woodland Hills, California 91364 14 (818) 227-0100 B.505-091 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 Opposition to Debtors' Motion to Value Collateral 28